

**Pennsylvania Commission on Sentencing
Caselaw Highlights (PA Reporter through December 28, 2007)**

Petty	PBPP	896 A.2d 698	3/13/2006	PA Cmwth	Parole	Conditions	Parole condition prohibiting change in approved address without written permission, and parole condition providing that removal or termination from program for any reason other than successful completion was parole violation, were not duplicative, and thus, Pennsylvania Board of Probation and Parole could recommit parolee as a technical parole violator for violation of two parole conditions; violation of general condition did not automatically result in violation of special condition, and fact that violation of one condition could result in violation of another did not render conditions duplicative.
Wheeler	PBPP	862 A.2d 127	11/17/2004	PA Cmwth	Parole	Conditions	Prohibiting parolee from having contact with alleged spouse he had physically abused in the past served the Parole Act's goal of protecting the public, and thus, parolee had no cognizable "personal right" that would entitle him to an adjudication or to appellate review of the special parole condition that he not contact or associate with his alleged wife except as permitted, in writing, by parole agent. 61 P.S. § 311.1.
Pettibone	PBPP	782 A.2d 605	8/16/2001	PA Cmwth	Parole	Conditions	Parole condition requiring parolee to notify parole agent about arrest within 72 hours was vague, ambiguous, and capable of various interpretations with respect to required method of notification, and thus, Commonwealth Court held that parolee fulfilled condition by having his parents notify agent about his arrest.
	Walter	655 A.2d 554	1/5/1995	PA Super	Parole	Conditions	Parole agreement consenting to warrantless search did not contain sufficient procedural safeguards and therefore violated 4th Amendment rights.